The Broken Ladder: Human Capital Risk in UK Professional Services

A Strategic Green Paper | Treat Digital/AI Futures Forum - January 2026

Executive Summary

It is January 2026. The UK professional services sector - historically the engine room of the British middle class and a global standard of the apprenticeship model - stands at a precarious inflection point. Over the past eighteen months, the rapid integration of Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI) has transitioned from a phase of experimental pilot programs to structural deployment. The result is the beginning of a phenomenon we term the "Junior Cull": a systemic contraction in entry-level hiring and a hollowing out of the traditional career pyramid that has sustained law firms, consultancies, and accountancy practices for decades.

This Green Paper, "The Broken Ladder," posits that the sector could be sleepwalking into a ‘competence crisis’. The efficiency gains realised in 2024 and 2025 - quantifiable in the hundreds of millions of pounds saved - have been achieved at the cost of the industry's long-term endurance mechanism. By automating the training tasks (document review, preparing presentations and so on) that historically forged professional judgment, firms risk severing the developmental pathway of the next generation of partners.

The data is stark. Graduate intake across the Big Four has plummeted by nearly a third in specific service lines.[1] The legal sector faces a verification gap where junior associates, relieved of rote work, lack the cognitive "desirable difficulty" required to identify errors in AI outputs, leading to a surge in liability risks.[3] Meanwhile, insurers are highlighting risks associated with AI use and feeling compelled to include affirmative cover for AI-related claims.[4]

As we look ahead through 2026, the sector confronts a paradox: firms are per-capita more profitable but structurally more fragile. This paper serves as both a retrospective on the transformation of 2025 and a strategic risk assessment for the year ahead, drawing on data from across the Golden Triangle of London's professional services.

1. The Macro-Economic Context: A Perfect Storm

To understand the Junior Cull one must first position the technological shift within the broader economic reality of the United Kingdom in early 2026. The reduction in junior headcount is not solely a function of algorithmic capability; it is inevitably more nuanced and in part also the result of technological deflation meeting economic stagnation.

1.1 The Confounding Factor of Economic Uncertainty

The UK economy has faced significant and well-reported headwinds throughout 2025 and will continue to face similar headwinds throughout the year ahead. Vanguard’s economic outlook predicts a downshift in UK growth to a mere 0.8% in 2026, driven by tighter fiscal policy and tax rises intended to meet government fiscal rules.[5] This macroeconomic lethargy has created a confounding factor in our analysis: firms were already looking to trim excess. However, unlike previous recessions where hiring would rebound with the market, the 2024-2025 contraction appears to be permanent.

In previous downturns, firms hoarded talent to prepare for the upswing. In 2025, firms used the economic cover to remove junior layers structurally and permanently, replacing variable human costs with fixed software costs. The hiring freeze has morphed into a hiring redesign.

1.2 The Collapse of the Leverage Model

For fifty years, the economic engine of UK professional services was the pyramid model. Firms hired large cohorts of relatively inexpensive graduates, billed them out at a margin to perform routine data gathering and analysis, and filtered the top performers upward. This leverage model - many juniors supporting few partners - relied on an abundance of billable grunt work.

In early 2026, this model is effectively becoming obsolete. The integration of GenAI has decoupled revenue from headcount. We are witnessing a structural shift where the ratio of revenue generation to junior headcount has inverted. Firms no longer need an army of analysts to process data; they need a smaller, elite cadre of "AI-augmented" architects. This has resulted in a hollowing out of the workforce structure, transforming the pyramid into a diamond shape - lean at the bottom, heavy in the middle with technical specialists, and exclusive at the top.[6]

2. Management Consulting: The "Up or Out" Crisis

The management consulting sector, particularly within the MBB (McKinsey, BCG, Bain) and Big Four strategy arms, has been the canary in the coal mine for the displacement of analytical labour.

2.1 The Disappearance of the Analyst

In management consulting, the analyst role has traditionally involved data cleaning, market research, and slide creation. In 2025, these functions started to be largely subsumed by proprietary AI platforms. The grunt work that occupies the first two years of a consultant's life - and fundamentally teaches them how to structure a logical argument – is going.

Case Study: McKinsey’s “Lilli” Platform

McKinsey & Company’s deployment of "Lilli," its internal generative AI platform, exemplifies this shift. By mid-2025, over 75% of the firm's 43,000 employees were using Lilli monthly.[7] [8] The platform creates PowerPoint slides from prompts, modifies tone of voice, and synthesises decades of proprietary knowledge in seconds.

- **Operational Shift:** The platform allows consultants to "draft proposals for client projects while maintaining the firm's standards" and "create a PowerPoint slideshow through a prompt".[7][8]
- **The Competence Conundrum:** Senior partners report a new phenomenon: "prompt anxiety" among new hires.[9] Juniors, lacking the domain expertise to know *what* to ask, struggle to query the system effectively. The Lilli rollout has revealed that effective prompting requires the very subject matter expertise that juniors used to acquire through the manual research Lilli now performs.

2.2 Quantifying the Junior Cull

While some firms have attempted to mask these reductions as strategic adjustments, the data from the 2024–2025 recruitment cycles indicate a harsh contraction in entry-level capacity.[2]

Table 1: Reduction in Big Four UK Graduate Intake (2023–2025 Comparison)

Firm	Reduction in Graduate Intake	Previous Intake Volume	Current Intake Volume
KPMG	-29%	1,399	942
Deloitte	-18%	1,700	1,400
EY	-11%	1,800	1,600
PwC	-6%	1,600	1,500

This contraction aligns with broader labour market trends identified by the Stanford Digital Economy Lab, which found a 13% relative decline in employment for workers aged 22–25 in AI-exposed occupations by late 2025.[11] The data confirms that the burden of adjustment is falling disproportionately on the youngest entrants to the workforce.

2.3 The "Hiring Freeze" vs. "Expansion" Paradox

While generalist intakes are down, specific specialised roles are seeing a hiring boom, creating a bifurcated culture.

- **The Freeze:** Generalist Associate roles are frozen. Data from SparkCo indicates a projected "15% slower hiring velocity" for Q1 2026 due to budget reallocation.[12]
- **The Expansion:** Conversely, roles for AI Architects and Data Engineers are expanding.

However, these technical roles do not feed into the general partnership track in the same way, creating a technical silo separate from the client-facing leadership pipeline.[11]

PwC has been explicit about this shift. Jenn Kosar, PwC's AI Assurance leader, stated in 2025 that new hires are expected to perform "manager-level work" within three years.[10] This "up or out" dynamic has accelerated; the timeline for proving competence has shortened, but the mechanism for acquiring it (routine work) has vanished.

3. The Legal Profession: The Death of the Trainee?

The UK legal sector faces perhaps the most acute existential threat from GenAI because its revenue model - the billable hour - is directly correlated to inefficiency. The events of 2025 are placing the traditional training contract under siege.

3.1 The Economics of Efficiency: 190 Hours Lost

The cold hard financial figures of 2025 reveal the scale of the disruption. AI tools were estimated to save approximately 190 billable hours per lawyer annually.[13] In a fee-earner model, this is not just efficiency; it is a revenue hole of approximately $20 billion across the US/UK markets if rates remain static.[13]

Table 2: AI Impact on Legal Economics (2025 Estimates)

Metric	**Impact Data**	**Implication for Trainees**
Efficiency Gain	190 hours/lawyer/year [13]	Reduced need for junior support on distinct matters.
Pricing Model	>50% of revenue now from pre-negotiated/non-hourly models [14]	Shift to fixed-fee rewards automation, penalising learning on the job.
Client Demand	96% of GCs expect cost reductions [15] [39]	Training wheel tasks (e.g. document review) become unbillable, potentially removing the funding model for junior development.[16]

This economic reality has forced firms to automate the very tasks - document review, bundling, basic drafting - that constituted the qualifying work experience (QWE) for trainees.

3.2 The Deskilling Phenomenon: Academic Warnings

In 2025, research highlighted the cognitive impact of AI in legal education. The study *Finding Equilibrium* warned of a Competence Trap and Cognitive Offloading.

- **The Competence Trap:** Trainees risk becoming post-AI solicitors who trust the tool's output over legal principles, creating a black box generation unable to explain or defend their own legal advice.[17]
- **Cognitive Offloading:** Research warns that extensive AI use by juniors triggers metacognitive laziness, where critical thinking tasks are offloaded to the machine, preventing the development of deep analytical skills.[17]

3.3 The Rise of the AI Lawyer Elite

While the general trainee cohort shrinks, a new elite class is emerging.

- **The Hybrid Role:** In November 2025, Linklaters launched a 20-strong global team of "AI Lawyers". These Linklaters personnel are not IT support staff; they are qualified lawyers who have undergone a bootcamp in prompt engineering and workflow architecture.[18]
- **Clifford Chance Adoption:** By late 2025, Clifford Chance reported a 90% adoption rate of AI tools across the firm[19], signalling that AI is no longer a specialist tool but a universal mandate.
- **Bifurcation of the Profession:** The industry is splitting. At the top are the AI Architects - high-value, high-skill lawyers who build the systems. At the bottom, the middle class of the legal profession - the solid, reliable associates who churned documents - is disappearing.

3.4 Regulatory Lag: The SQE Crisis

The Solicitors Regulation Authority (SRA) and the Solicitors Qualifying Examination (SQE) framework are struggling to adapt. The QWE component requires trainees to undertake substantive legal work. If AI performs the substantive work (drafting, research), legal scholars argue that trainees may technically fail to meet the competency standards required for qualification.[20] The City of London Law Society has mobilised its Training Committee to address this, but as of December 2025, the regulatory framework likely remains dangerously behind the technological reality.[21]

4. Accountancy & Audit: The Verification Gap

If the legal sector's crisis is economic, the accountancy sector's crisis is epistemic. The core function of audit – verification - is breaking down because the human capacity to verify machine output is being eroded.

4.1 Regulatory Maturation and the Mitigation of Automation Bias (2025)

By mid-2025, the discourse surrounding AI in auditing shifted from theoretical implementation to standardised regulatory oversight. Rather than exposing a fatal verification gap, the industry focused on establishing rigorous controls to manage the interaction between human auditors and automated systems.

The FRC Intervention: On June 25, 2025, the Financial Reporting Council (FRC) released its landmark guidance, “AI in audit”.[22 23] This publication, alongside a thematic review of the six largest audit firms, provided the first coherent framework for the responsible deployment of AI. The guidance moved away from prescriptive technological bans, instead emphasising that AI tools - defined broadly to include Machine Learning and Generative AI - must be supported by proportionate and robust documentation regarding their design and testing.

Mitigating Automation Bias The FRC explicitly addressed the risks associated with the human-AI interface, specifically automation bias. However, rather than accepting this as an inevitable paradox of oversight where junior auditors are rendered obsolete, the guidance mandated that audit firms actively manage this risk through competence and training.

- **Understanding over Acceptance:** The FRC stressed that auditors must fully grasp how any AI tools they use actually work. The guidance clarified that blind reliance on a clean report from an AI tool is a compliance failure. If an auditor cannot explain the tool's output or logic, the tool cannot be used as primary audit evidence.
- **Targeted Training:** To counter the atrophy of manual verification skills, the FRC’s thematic review highlighted best practices where firms are targeting required training to relevant users. This ensures that junior auditors possess the foundational knowledge necessary to challenge algorithmic outputs, rather than passively accepting them.

The Certification Imperative: The 2025 guidance introduced a rigorous expectation for the Certification of Automated Tools and Techniques (ATTs). This effectively closed the logic loop on AI hallucinations by requiring a lifecycle approach to tool validation *before* deployment in live audits.

- **Lifecycle Management:** Certification is not a one-time stamp but a continuous process covering initial planning, design, implementation, and ongoing monitoring.
- **Documentation Standards: F**irms are now required to document the testing of AI tools against known datasets to verify reliability. This ensures that the manual "ticking-and-bashing" is not simply abandoned but is replaced by a higher-level structural verification of the tool itself.

4.2 The Loss of "Auditor's Instinct"

Senior partners rely on an auditor's instinct - a gut feeling that a figure looks wrong, developed over years of manual reconciliation. The 2025 data suggests that GenAI is severing the feedback loop that builds this instinct.

- **The ICAEW Warning:** The Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales (ICAEW) has flagged a widening skills gap. Their 2025 consultation revealed that while firms are adopting AI, there is no clear plan for how to train auditors to spot what the AI misses.[26 27]
- **From Sampling to Exhaustion:** AI tools now allow for 100% testing of transaction populations rather than sampling. While statistically superior, this moves the auditor from the “trenches” of

data to the "tower" of oversight. The view is better, but the granular understanding of *how* the business operates is lost.

4.3 Offshoring vs. AI: The Double Blow

The Big Four are simultaneously battling two fronts: automation and offshoring. This convergence has forced a structural shift in the audit talent model, often referred to as the Diamond Model where the traditionally broad base of junior staff is narrowed in favour of mid-tier specialists and offshore delivery.

- **PwC's Strategic Shift (August 2025):** In late 2025, internal presentations leaked from PwC revealed that the firm explicitly cited "Acceleration Centres" (ACs) alongside AI as primary drivers for a planned reduction in entry-level headcount. This was not a theoretical projection; by September 2025, PwC UK confirmed a reduction in its graduate intake by roughly 200 roles compared to previous years, attributing the decision to a market where AI is reshaping roles.[10]
- **The "Hollowed" Training Ground:** While entry-level work has not vanished, the *nature* of the remaining work has changed fundamentally. Routine tasks (e.g., ticking-and-bashing, data preparation) are now routed to ACs in India, Malaysia, and the Philippines or completed by AI.[1]

The consequence being the remaining UK-based juniors are thrust immediately into roles requiring higher-level judgment and client management - skills that were previously developed through the very manual tasks that have now been offshored or automated. This creates a skills gap where fresh graduates are expected to perform as semi-seniors without the traditional training wheels of foundational audit work.

5. The Financial & Liability Landscape

The "Junior Cull" is not merely a Human Resources issue; it is a financial risk of the first order. The financial figures of 2025 reveal that the failure to manage this transition is already costing the industry billions.

5.1 The Cost of Hallucinations

The assumption that AI is cheaper than human labour is being tested by the high cost of error.

- **Global Losses:** Comprehensive studies from 2025 indicate that AI hallucinations and reliability issues cost enterprises an estimated $67.4 billion globally in 2024–2025.[28]
- **The Silent AI Risk:** Insurers have identified Silent A - the unauthorised or undisclosed use of AI by staff - as a massive liability. The cost of rectifying a hallucinated legal citation or a flawed audit sample is often multiples higher than the cost of the original manual work.[29]

5.2 The Insurance Market Response

The Professional Indemnity (PI) insurance market hardened significantly in 2025 regarding AI risks. Insurers are no longer accepting "we use AI" as a generic statement; they require granular risk audits.

- **Affirmative Cover:** Insurers like Hiscox and Allianz began demanding explicit affirmative cover clauses for AI, creating a clear demarcation between human error (covered as standard) and machine error (requiring specific riders).[4]
- **Governance Mandates:** Renewals in 2025 required law firms to demonstrate robust AI governance frameworks. Firms need to be alive to the inherent risks of AI and hence demonstrate responsible use and appropriate safeguards.[30]
- **Hiscox Cyber Readiness Report 2025:** The report highlighted that while attack rates declined, AI-driven entry points (via software supply chains) became a primary vector for breach, further complicating the liability landscape for professional services firms holding sensitive client data.[31]

5.3 Client Pressure: The Deflationary Spiral

Evidence from 2025 confirms that clients are driving the Junior Cull through aggressive procurement strategies.

- **EY Law General Counsel Study 2025:** The study revealed that 96% of General Counsels believe AI investment should meaningfully reduce their legal spend.[15]
- **Chargebacks and Caps:** Clients are instituting chargeback mechanisms, refusing to pay for junior time spent on tasks they believe should be automated. This deflationary pressure forces firms to cut junior headcount to protect the Partner Earnings Per (PEP) metric.
- **In-Housing Capability:** Corporate legal departments are adopting their own instances of tools like Harvey and CoPilot. This creates a competitive threat: why pay an external firm for junior work when the internal AI can do it? This structural shift is permanently reducing the Total Addressable Market (TAM) for junior legal services.

6. The Human Impact: A Competence & Diversity Crisis

6.1 The Missing Rung and The Cognitive Leap

The Broken Ladder thesis rests on the concept of the missing rung. Professional development has historically been linear: learn by doing simple tasks, then manage others doing simple tasks, then manage complex tasks. AI removes the first rung, expecting juniors to levitate to the second.

- **The Cognitive Leap:** Juniors are now expected to leap from university directly to roles requiring strategic advisor judgment. Reports from *People Matters* (July 2025) highlight that while automation handles 60% of traditional junior analysis, the remaining work requires high-cognition synthesis that fresh graduates lack the experience to perform.[32]
- **The Training Vacuum:** the industry is facing a training vacuum. With the removal of routine

work (ticking-and-bashing), the mechanism for learning through osmosis has disappeared. Firms are finding that without the grunt work to build intuition, juniors struggle to develop the professional identity and scepticism required for senior roles.

6.2 The Diversity Impact: Regressing Social Mobility

There is a significant and under-reported risk that the Junior Cull will regress diversity and social mobility within the professions.

- **The Elite Filter:** As firms reduce entry-level intake - KPMG by 29% and Deloitte by 18% in 2025[33] - the recruitment bar has risen drastically. The *EY Foundation's 2025 report on AI and Social Mobility*[34] warns that this shift favours candidates from elite backgrounds who can access advanced pre-career training, potentially reversing years of progress in broadening access to the profession.
- **Algorithmic Homogeneity:** With hiring volumes dropping and firms relying on AI-driven assessments to filter the remaining pool, the risk of algorithmic bias has shifted. The danger is no longer just rejection, but homogeneity: the algorithms prioritise candidates who mirror the successful historical data of current partners - a dataset that is overwhelmingly non-diverse.[40]

6.3 The University Response

Universities are scrambling to adapt to the new reality. King's College London's launch of AI literacy training is a defensive measure, acknowledging that students must now be taught AI verification as a core module.[35] However, academia cannot replicate the apprenticeship of observation that occurs on the job. The gap between the classroom and the (automated) boardroom is widening.

7. 2026 Forecast: The Age of the Agent

As we look to 2026, the trends of 2025 will accelerate and mutate. We predict a shift from Generative AI (creating text) to Agentic AI (executing workflows), which will threaten the *next* layer of the pyramid: the mid-level associate.

7.1 From Chatbots to Agentic AI

The forecast for 2026 suggests the widespread deployment of Agentic AI.

- **The Digital Worker:** Deloitte and Forrester predict that by 2026, digital workers (autonomous agents) will be recognised as a distinct segment of the workforce.[36] These agents will not just draft a contract clause; they will negotiate it, update the file, and bill the client.
- **Impact on Middle Management:** This will erode the role of the project manager or senior associate - the person who coordinates workflows. If an agent can orchestrate the task list, the structural need for middle management diminishes.

7.2 The Retrain or Perish Model

The "up or out" model will be replaced by "retrain or perish".

- **Continuous Reskilling:** The skills required will change every 18 months. The 2026 professional will likely spend 20% of their billable time on retraining and configuring their AI agents, a shift already being signalled by firms like Clifford Chance and Linklaters.[18 38]
- **New Career Paths:** We will see the formalisation of new career tracks within traditional firms: Legal Technologist, Audit Data Architect, and Prompt/Context Engineer will become standard, distinct from the partnership track.

7.3 Strategic Recommendations for Resilience

To survive the Broken Ladder, firms must actively build new rungs. We recommend the following strategic interventions for 2026:

- **Simulated Training Environments:** Firms must create "flight simulators" for juniors - simulated transactions and audits where they can fail safely and learn the grunt work artificially, since it no longer exists in live client work.
- **The Apprenticeship of Oversight:** Training must shift from doing to auditing. Curricula must explicitly teach juniors how to break AI, identifying hallucinations and logic errors through adversarial testing.
- **Mandatory Human Work:** Partners must designate specific tasks that *must* remain manual for training purposes, subsidising the cost as an investment in future partner competence (R&D), rather than writing it off as an inefficiency.

Conclusion

The Broken Ladder is not a temporary disruption; it is most likely to be the new structural reality of the professional services landscape in the UK. The efficiency gains of 2025 have been purchased at the cost of future capability. The Junior Cull is saving money today but risks the solvency of the partnership model tomorrow.

If the sector does not intervene to reconstruct the learning curve that automation has destroyed, 2026 will mark the beginning of a competence crisis where the next generation of partners arrives at the top of the firm, only to realise they do not know how the proverbial building stands up. The priority for 2026 must shift from "AI adoption" to "Human preservation".

Appendix 1: Research methodology and works cited

This report was compiled in December 2025 based on research and other materials made available online and in the public domain. All sources are referenced below and remain the copyright of the authors.

Works cited

1. The Big Four's new favourite grad is AI - Accountancy Age, 23 June 2025, https://accountancyage.com/2025/06/23/the-big-fours-new-favourite-grad-is-ai/
2. Big 4 replace graduate roles with AI & Offshoring? - The Finance Story, 30 August 2025, https://thefinancestory.com/big4-graduate-hiring-slowdown-due-to-ai-offshoring
3. Generative Whiplash: Tuning Out Contradictory GenAI Hype & Crafting a Tech-Savvy Legal Training Playbook (draft) - DigitalCommons@NYLS, 'Fall' 2025, https://digitalcommons.nyls.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2752&context=fac_articles_chapters
4. Hiscox UK enhances technology insurance with explicit AI claims coverage, 6 June 2025, https://www.insurancetimes.co.uk/news/hiscox-uk-enhances-technology-insurance-with-explicit-ai-claims-coverage/1455432.article
5. How will AI shape the economy and markets in 2026? | Vanguard UK Professional, 25 November 2025, https://www.vanguard.co.uk/professional/insights/how-will-ai-shape-the-economy-and-markets-in-2026
6. AI and the Collapse of the Big Four? | by Olivier Khatib - Medium, 13 August 2025, https://medium.com/@olikhatib/ai-and-the-collapse-of-the-big-four-1ed3472e08ed
7. AI continues eating junior entry roles as McKinsey lets AI do the grunt work - Matthew Griffin, 26 July 2025, https://www.fanaticalfuturist.com/2025/07/ai-continues-eating-junior-entry-roles-as-mckinsey-lets-ai-do-the-grunt-work/
8. McKinsey is Using AI to Create PowerPoints and Take Over Junior Employee Tasks - Entrepreneur, 2 June 2025, https://www.entrepreneur.com/business-news/ai-creates-powerpoints-at-mckinsey-replacing-junior-workers/492624
9. McKinsey AI Interview Now A Part of Final Round, Making AI Fluency Essential for U.S. Candidates - Management Consulted, 12 December 2025, https://managementconsulted.com/mckinsey-ai-interview-now-a-part-of-final-round-making-ai-fluency-essential-for-u-s-candidates/
10. AI reshapes junior roles, Big Four AI rivals & SEC stablecoin accounting | Firm of the Future, 14 August 2025, https://www.firmofthefuture.com/news/lwia-ai-junior-roles-big-four-rivals-sec-stablecoin-accounting/
11. AI's Impact on Graduate Jobs: A 2025 Data Analysis | IntuitionLabs, 'late' 2025, https://intuitionlabs.ai/articles/ai-impact-graduate-jobs-2025
12. Q1 2026 Hiring Freeze Preparation Strategies: December 2025 Trends & Sparkco Playbook, 12 November 2025, https://sparkco.ai/blog/q1-2026-hiring-freeze-preparation-strategies
13. "Future of Professionals" report analysis: Why AI will flip law firm economics, 6 October 2025, https://www.thomsonreuters.com/en-us/posts/legal/future-of-professionals-report-analysis-law-firm-economics/
14. 2026 CITI HILDEBRANDT CLIENT ADVISORY: January 2026, https://www.citiglobalwealth.com/content/dam/cpb/internet/www-citiglobalwealth-com/wealth-at-work/docs/2026_CitiHildebrandtClientAdvisory.pdf.coredownload.inline.pdf
15. Research: GCs Expect Bigger Budgets and a Shift to Agile Budgeting in 2025 - Axiom Law, 24 September 2024, https://www.axiomlaw.com/resources/press-releases/general-counsel-agile-budgeting-2025
16. Clients Want the AI Future Now. Are Firms Dragging Their Feet? – Best Law Firms, 21 November 2025, https://www.bestlawfirms.com/articles/clients-want-the-future-now-are-firms-dragging-their-feet/7138
17. Finding Equilibrium: An Integrative Approach to Balancing Human and Artificial Intelligence in Legal Research, Stephanie Grace - Taylor & Francis Online, 7 Aug 2025, https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/0270319X.2025.2534229
18. Linklaters launches 20 strong global team of specialist AI Lawyers, 25 November 2025,

https://www.linklaters.com/en/about-us/news-and-deals/news/2025/november/linklaters-launches-20-strong-global-team-of-specialist-ai-lawyers

19. 2025/26 Reflections & Predictions: Paul Greenwood, Clifford Chance – AI Adoption is at 90%, Legal IT Insider, 23 December 2025, https://legaltechnology.com/2025/12/23/2025-26-reflections-predictions-paul-greenwood-clifford-chance-ai-adoption-is-at-90/
20. The Changing Landscape of Legal Training in 2025 - Accutrainee, 22 January 2025, https://accutrainee.com/the-changing-landscape-of-legal-training-in-2025/
21. The CLLS AI Committee, 2025, https://clls.org/committees/ai.html
22. FRC publishes landmark guidance on the uses of AI for audit | ICAEW, 8 July 2025, https://www.icaew.com/insights/viewpoints-on-the-news/2025/jul-2025/frc-publishes-landmark-guidance-on-the-uses-of-ai-for-audit
23. FRC publishes landmark guidance providing clarity to audit profession on the uses of AI, 26 June 2025, https://www.frc.org.uk/news-and-events/news/2025/06/frc-publishes-landmark-guidance-providing-clarity-to-audit-profession-on-the-uses-of-ai/
24. Artificial Intelligence in Financial Auditing - unipub - Universität Graz, January 2024, https://unipub.uni-graz.at/obvugrhs/download/pdf/10133676
25. KPMG in the Crown Dependencies Transparency Report 2025, 19 December 2025, https://assets.kpmg.com/content/dam/kpmg/dp/pdf/2025/KPMG-in-the-Crown-Dependencies-Transparency-Report-2025-19Dec25.pdf
26. Where will AI assurance skills come from? - ICAEW, 16 September 2025, https://www.icaew.com/insights/viewpoints-on-the-news/2025/sep-2025/where-will-ai-assurance-skills-come-from
27. AI: should it replace junior roles? - ICAEW, 17 July 2025, https://www.icaew.com/insights/viewpoints-on-the-news/2025/jul-2025/ai-should-it-replace-junior-roles
28. The $67 Billion Warning: How AI Hallucinations Hurt Enterprises (and How to Stop Them), 20 August 2025, https://korra.ai/the-67-billion-warning-how-ai-hallucinations-hurt-enterprises-and-how-to-stop-them/
29. The Hidden Cost Crisis: Economic Impact of AI Content Reliability Issues | Nova Spivack, 24 May 2025, https://www.novaspivack.com/technology/the-hidden-cost-crisis
30. Solicitors' PII market update: Lessons from the 2025 insurance renewals - Jonathon Bray, 10 September 2025, https://www.jonathonbray.com/solicitors-pii-market-update-lessons-from-the-2025-insurance-renewals/
31. Hiscox Cyber Readiness Report 2025 | Hiscox UK, 7 October 2025, https://www.hiscox.co.uk/cyberreadiness
32. Big Four cut entry-level jobs amid AI and cost pressures | People Matters, 20 July 2025, https://www.peoplematters.in/news/recruiting-and-onboarding/big-four-cut-entry-level-jobs-amid-ai-and-cost-pressures-42164
33. Tech vs talent - Big Four cutting graduate jobs in favour of AI | Consulting Point, 24 June 2025, https://www.consultingpoint.com/news/2025/6/24/tech-vs-talent-big-four-cutting-graduate-jobs-cut-in-favour-of-ai-is-a-misstep-expert-warns
34. EY Foundation - Artificial intelligence and social mobility: An opportunity to unlock the potential of young people, 21 January 2025, https://www.eyfoundation.com/content/dam/ey-unified-site/eyfoundation-com/news/ai-and-social-mobility.pdf
35. King's College London university launches 'AI literacy' training for law students and staff Global Legal Post, 2 December 2025, https://www.globallegalpost.com/news/kings-college-london-university-launches-ai-literacy-training-for-law-students-and-staff-696815508
36. TMT Predictions 2026: The AI gap narrows but persists - Deloitte, 18 November 2025, https://www.deloitte.com/us/en/insights/industry/technology/technology-media-and-telecom-predictions.html
37. Predictions 2026: AI Agents, Changing Business Models, And Workplace Culture Impact Enterprise Software - Forrester, 5 November 2025, https://www.forrester.com/blogs/predictions-2026-ai-agents-changing-business-models-and-workplace-culture-impact-enterprise-software/

38. Dedicate 20% of billable time to AI studies, international firm tells juniors - The Law Society Gazette, 17 November 2025, https://www.lawgazette.co.uk/news/dedicate-20-of-billable-time-to-ai-studies-international-firm-tells-juniors/5125126.article
39. Amid disruption, how can legal departments innovate with confidence? | EY, April 2025, https://www.ey.com/content/dam/ey-unified-site/ey-com/en-gl/insights/law/documents/ey-law-general-counsel-study-04-2025.pdf
40. From Algorithms To Deepfakes: AI Risks Every Employer Must Confront | Clark Hill, 1 December 2025, https://www.clarkhill.com/news-events/news/from-algorithms-to-deepfakes-ai-risks-every-employer-must-confront/